

20011018.ba v03\_n239.bam.20011018

>From ???@??? Thu Oct 18 14:21:36 2001 -0500  
Message-Id: <200110181921.f9IJLK93027494@sco.theporch.com>  
Date: Thu, 18 Oct 2001 14:20:47 CDT  
From: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Subject: BOATANCHORS digest 3239

BOATANCHORS Digest 3239

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) Capacitor Question  
by George Humphrey <gah@koyote.com>
- 2) TMC GPT-750D-2,E-2 TM  
by "Robert W. Downs" <RWDowns\_WA5CAB@compuserve.com>
- 3) FS: instruction book for AN/FRC-7A and -17A  
by Avery Comarow <acomarow@usnews.com>
- 4) Re: Capacitor Question  
by "Marty's Refl. Drop" <polepeeg@aa4rm.ba-watch.org>
- 5) Re: Capacitor Question  
by "A. B. Bonds" <ab@vuse.vanderbilt.edu>
- 6) Re: Capacitor Question  
by jan@skirrow.org
- 7) 4-400A versus 4-400B  
by "Hubbard, Al" <ahubbard@archway.com>
- 8) Re: 4-400A versus 4-400B  
by "Sandy W5TVW" <ebjr@i-55.com>
- 9) Re: Capacitor Question  
by Arden Allen <gumbear@pacbell.net>
- 10) INFORMATION PLEASE - RBZ Receiver  
by "Allan Culbert" <k0al@home.com>
- 11) Wanted: Navy message form copy  
by "Robert G. Flory" <RobandPJ@compuserve.com>
- 12) Special Event Station K7UGA on the Air This Weekend  
by David Hollander <n7rk@dancris.com>
- 13) XNVIEW suggestion  
by ejones@hiwaay.net
- 14) SP-600 ?  
by BEN NOCK <G4BXD@compuserve.com>
- 15) Re: XNVIEW suggestion  
by "russ dworakowski" <wb3fau@hotmail.com>
- 16) hardware  
by Bob Roehrig <broehrig@aurora.edu>
- 17) Re: XNVIEW suggestion  
by plmills@attglobal.net
- 18) Re: XNVIEW suggestion

by "Al Parker" <anchor@ec.rr.com>  
19) RBZ Navy/Marine Corp Helmet Receiver  
by "Tony Grogan" <wsno19@mindspring.com>  
20) Re: XNVIEW suggestion  
by "Dennis L. Wade" <dlwade@pacbell.net>  
21) Re: Wanted: Navy message form copy  
by Jerry Proc <jerry.proc@sympatico.ca>

---

Message-Id: <3.0.6.32.20011017123947.007b3ea0@mail.koyote.com>  
Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2001 12:39:47 -0500  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
From: George Humphrey <gah@koyote.com>  
Subject: Capacitor Question  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Hi Group,

I am somewhat confused on a capacitor question. I am working on an old Hali and it has both the leaky paper caps and the molded caps. Both appear to be polarized, but understand there is a difference between polarized molded and paper with the end marked for proper circuit installation. OK, the question, can I use unpolarized axial caps to replace the leaky paper axial caps? How about the molded caps, do I have to stick with polarized here? Still learning I hope. ;-)

73 de George  
KC5WBV

---

Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2001 13:42:05 -0400  
From: "Robert W. Downs" <RWDowns\_WA5CAB@compuserve.com>  
Subject: TMC GPT-750D-2,E-2 TM  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Message-ID: <200110171342\_MC3-E38C-BF8B@compuserve.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset=ISO-8859-1  
Content-Disposition: inline

Groups,

Scan and cleanup is finally complete on TMC Technical Manual IN-315 covering the GPT-750D-2 and GPT-750E-2. Anyone interested in a reprint should contact me direct.

Note that I have no info as to applicability of this particular manual to the GPT-750D, D-1, E or E-1 (assuming that they exist), nor any info on how they differ from the -2's. The D and E models (regardless of suffix) are the SSB variants. Also, IN-315, the basic transmitter manual, does not cover the sideband generator SBE, SBE-1 or SBE-2 (AN/URA-23). IN-2008 covers SBE-2 (AN/URA-23) and is next in the queue, followed by the manual on GPT-750A, B and C. I don't have anything at present on SBE or SBE-1.

73

Robert W. Downs  
<rwdowns\_wa5cab@compuserve.com>  
Houston, TX/Bayou LaBatre, AL

-----  
Message-Id: <4.2.2.20011017134632.00ac9cc0@ntpop.usnews.com>  
Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2001 13:49:35 -0400  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
From: Avery Comarow <acomarow@usnews.com>  
Subject: FS: instruction book for AN/FRC-7A and -17A  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Original. VG condition, light pencil markings on cover and a few other pages. \$10, shipping included.

73, Avery W3AVE in Potomac, Md.

-----  
Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2001 15:52:37 -0400 (EDT)  
From: "Marty's Refl. Drop" <polepeeg@aa4rm.ba-watch.org>  
Message-Id: <200110171952.PAA04578@aa4rm.ba-watch.org>  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Subject: Re: Capacitor Question

George paper caps aren't 'polarized.' The banded end on some is the 'outside foil' & was so-marked on oldies.

So to minimize rf coupling, etc. in, say, screen bypasses you connected that terminal to ground.

Hope that helps

Marty

-----  
Message-Id: <5.1.0.14.0.20011017150309.03f98a70@vuse.vanderbilt.edu>  
Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2001 15:20:00 -0500  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
From: "A. B. Bonds" <ab@vuse.vanderbilt.edu>  
Subject: Re: Capacitor Question  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

>  
>I am somewhat confused on a capacitor question. I am working on an old Hali  
>and it has both the leaky paper caps and the molded caps. Both appear to be  
>polarized, but understand there is a difference between polarized molded  
>and paper with the end marked for proper circuit installation. OK, the  
>question, can I use unpolarized axial caps to replace the leaky paper axial  
>caps? How about the molded caps, do I have to stick with polarized here?

Not sure what you mean by molded caps. There are both mica molded caps and larger paper molded caps (e.g., black beauties).

Mica caps are not polarized. They occasionally will have a directional arrow, but that is so you can read the color code right.

The polarization on paper caps (either wax or molded) is with reference to which lead is attached to the outer foil. In theory at least the outer foil provides better shielding and should be at ground potential if the cap is used as a bypass or closer to ground potential (i.e., grid side) if used for coupling. In practice caps these days are made with differnet geometries and much thinner materials and it doesn't much matter which end is which. If you use a polyester or mylar cap that is not polarity marked, don't worry about it. Only ones where polarity really matters are electrolytics (I don't include tantalums here 'cuz they are so rare on BAs. Yeah, yeah, I know about the ones on the R390A).

A. B. Bonds

-----  
Message-Id: <4.3.2.7.2.20011017164658.00cc8100@mail.islandnet.com>  
Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2001 16:49:56 -0700  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
From: jan@skirrow.org  
Subject: Re: Capacitor Question  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

At 03:20 PM 10/17/01 -0500, A. B. Bonds wrote:

>>I am somewhat confused on a capacitor question. I am working on an old Hali  
>>and it has both the leaky paper caps and the molded caps. Both appear to be  
>>polarized, but understand there is a difference between polarized molded  
>>and paper with the end marked for proper circuit installation. OK, the  
>>question, can I use unpolarized axial caps to replace the leaky paper axial  
>>caps? How about the molded caps, do I have to stick with polarized here?  
>  
>Not sure what you mean by molded caps. There are both mica molded caps  
>and larger paper molded caps (e.g., black beauties).

Some Hallicrafters (like my S 36) had black caps that looked like ordinary  
molded micas on steroids. Same shape, similar markings etc. The two across  
the AC line had exploded, however, revealing a typical paper cap inside.  
They joined Black Beauties on my "get rid of 'em" list for other  
restorations. I've seen these caps elsewhere as well.

Jan Skirrow, VE7DJX

... in beautiful British Columbia, Canada

\*\*\* <http://skirrow.org/Boatanchors/> \*\*\*

-----  
Subject: 4-400A versus 4-400B  
Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2001 17:51:13 -0700  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
        charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable  
Message-ID: <FB4B89C780FB274F8122447ED6CCDD7711B788@ads-ems.archway.com>  
content-class: urn:content-classes:message  
From: "Hubbard, Al" <ahubbard@archway.com>  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

What is the difference between a 4-400A versus a 4-400B? Are they  
interchangeable in a Viking 500? I've got the specs for the 4-400A but  
cannot find anything on the B version.

Thanks.

Al  
WA0PGC

-----  
Message-ID: <001901c15778\$2f79fba0\$16a3cdd1@ebjr>

From: "Sandy W5TVW" <ebjr@i-55.com>  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Subject: Re: 4-400A versus 4-400B  
Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2001 20:56:22 -0500  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Subject: 4-400A versus 4-400B

> What is the difference between a 4-400A versus a 4-400B? Are they  
> interchangeable in a Viking 500? I've got the specs for the 4-400A but  
> cannot find anything on the B version.  
>  
> Thanks.  
>  
> Al  
> WA0PGC  
>  
> The 4-400B is exactly the same rating wise as the 4-400A. The difference  
is  
physical: On the "B" there is no aluminium ring or ceramic base header.  
The pins come right thru the glass envelope. The "A" has the ring and  
ceramic base header. Some foreign 4-400B's have no fins on the anode  
which is graphite instead of metal. I have used several different types of  
4-400's in the Viking 500 I had and noticed no difference between them  
and the original Eimac tube.  
There was once a Marconi "Crusader" marine transmitter that used  
three QY-4-400's (same as 4-400B) and the American 4-400A would not  
go into the recessed socket because of the "ring" on them! I think this is  
why Eimac  
and others came out with the 4-400B to fit such sockets designed for the  
QY4-400.  
73,  
Sandy W5TVW

-----  
Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2001 19:31:21 -0700  
From: Arden Allen <gumbear@pacbell.net>  
Subject: Re: Capacitor Question  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Message-id: <0GLD001R3QYTT2@mta6.snfc21.pbi.net>  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Hi George;

> I am somewhat confused on a capacitor question. I am working on an old  
Hali  
> and it has both the leaky paper caps and the molded caps. Both appear to  
be  
> polarized, but understand there is a difference between polarized molded  
> and paper with the end marked for proper circuit installation. OK, the  
> question, can I use unpolarized axial caps to replace the leaky paper  
axial  
> caps? How about the molded caps, do I have to stick with polarized here?

"Micamold", as mentioned already, refers to a component manufacturer who  
used the same mold design, complete with the Micamold moniker, for paper  
and mica caps and resistors. Yes, resistors!

Some paper caps, usually oil impregnated paper caps, look like electrolytic  
caps because of their similar metal containers.

The thing to watch out for in the RF sections of a BA is the mica caps.  
Mica caps are used for their high stability of capacitance and should only  
be replaced by micas or temperature stable (NPO or COG) ceramic types.

Nowadays film capacitors are not marked with their outside foil connection  
because it really doesn't matter which way they are connected. In olden  
times it was de rigour to connect the outside foil to ground. If you think  
about what capacitors do, the inside foil follows the outside foil around  
wherever it goes. Electrostatically, of course.

Arden Allen KB6NAX Vallejo, CA gumbear@pacbell.net

-----  
Message-ID: <003f01c1578c\$ae40ba00\$8dfbb218@cdrrpd1.ia.home.com>  
From: "Allan Culbert" <k0al@home.com>  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Cc: "K0HWE" <k0hwe@inav.net>  
Subject: INFORMATION PLEASE - RBZ Receiver  
Date: Wed, 17 Oct 2001 23:23:46 -0500  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;  
boundary="-----\_NextPart\_000\_003C\_01C15762.C516C5A0"

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----\_NextPart\_000\_003C\_01C15762.C516C5A0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Gang,

A local brought over a very good example of an RBZ receiver that begs =  
for a few answers. It was all contained in a canvas satchel stenciled =  
"CVH-10203". The satchel had three compartments. One compartment =  
contained what I would assume to be a canvas helmet liner stenciled =  
"CVH-10204" that had two headset elements. A second compartment =  
contained a battery case marked "Battery Case - RBZ Type CEX-10172". The =  
third compartment contained the RBZ receiver marked type "CEX 46203". I =  
appears to be a 2 - 6 MHz receiver. The receiver and battery compartment =  
are like sized and each about the size of a carton of cigarettes. Very =  
neat construction with copper chassis, inductively tuned, relatively =  
waterproof, etc.

What is it? Is it a part of a larger grouping of equipment? What was =  
it's intended use? Any sites have documentation on this cutie? What =  
would be the approximate value? No, I am not fishing for offers, as I =  
don't think it is for sale as it was a gift to the local from the widow =  
of a SK.

Thanks in advance for any and all information.

73

AL, KOAL

-----=\_NextPart\_000\_003C\_01C15762.C516C5A0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

\* \* \* \* \*  
\* ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED--- \*  
\* This post contains a forbidden message format \*  
\* (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) \*  
\* Mail Lists at theporch.com only accept PLAIN TEXT \*  
\* If your postings display this message your mail program \*  
\* is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting \*  
\* \* \* \* \*

-----=\_NextPart\_000\_003C\_01C15762.C516C5A0--

-----  
Date: Thu, 18 Oct 2001 06:46:43 -0400  
From: "Robert G. Flory" <RobandPJ@compuserve.com>  
Subject: Wanted: Navy message form copy  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Message-ID: <200110180647\_MC3-E3B1-AC65@compuserve.com>



MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset=ISO-8859-1  
Content-Disposition: inline

Hello,

I am developing a "Living History" program about WWII radio operators. I am looking for a copy of a WWII era message form so I can make copies for practice on a manual typewriter. My short-term goal is to qualify for the message copying portion of the qualifying exam as outlined in the Radioman 2nd class manual.

73 and Thanks, Rob Flory K2WI

-----  
Message-ID: <3BCEEF16.E471B995@dancris.com>  
Date: Thu, 18 Oct 2001 15:02:45 +0000  
From: David Hollander <n7rk@dancris.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Subject: Special Event Station K7UGA on the Air This Weekend  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; x-mac-type="54455854"; x-mac-creator="4D4F5353"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Special Event Station K7UGA on the Air This Weekend

October 20-21, 2001

K7UGA was the callsign of U.S. Senator Barry Morris Goldwater. Former Arizona senator and presidential candidate.  
Goldwater was also a Life Member of the Central AZ DX Association.

The famous K7UGA callsign formerly held by the late senator was re-issued to the Central Arizona DX Association. The FCC granted CADXA's request for K7UGA on October 24, 2000.

This weekend that famous callsign, K7UGA, will be re-activated with a special events station. Look for K7UGA .032 +/- on CW and 5-10 kHz. up from the U.S. General assignments on SSB. K7UGA will also be on WARC bands. Try 10110, 18.090, 24.905 cw and 18.160 and 24.965 SSB.

For more information on this Special Event Station, go to  
<http://www.cadxa.org>

Dave N7RK

\*\*\*\*\*

Dave N7RK - Webmaster CADXA <http://cadxa.org>  
Phoenix, Arizona \*DXCC Honor Roll\* \*WAZ#23 - 75 Meter SSB\*

ex-XE2/N7RK, N7RK/ZB2, VK2ERK, ZM0AJN, WB6NRK, WN6IWX

Boatanchor Collector Extraordinaire preferring Hallicrafters, National  
and what ever else looks interesting!

E-Mail: [n7rk@dancris.com](mailto:n7rk@dancris.com) Home Page:  
<http://www.dancris.com/~n7rk>

-----  
Date: Thu, 18 Oct 2001 11:09:40 -0500 (CDT)  
Message-Id: <v03007801b7f45bdba5c9@[216.180.66.92]>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"  
To: Old Tube Radios <[boatanchors@theporch.com](mailto:boatanchors@theporch.com)>  
From: [ejones@hiwaay.net](mailto:ejones@hiwaay.net)  
Subject: XNVIEW suggestion

de N4TGC Eric

In reference to being unable to use the schematics on the BAMA site, the  
XNVIEW virus is often promoted. This invasive monster is not free out of  
the goodness of someone's heart: it's chock full of surveillance routines.  
You are warned. e

-----  
Date: Thu, 18 Oct 2001 12:17:17 -0400  
From: BEN NOCK <[G4BXD@compuserve.com](mailto:G4BXD@compuserve.com)>  
Subject: SP-600 ?  
To: Old Tube Radios <[boatanchors@theporch.com](mailto:boatanchors@theporch.com)>  
Message-ID: <200110181217\_MC3-E3CF-4B7@compuserve.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset=ISO-8859-1  
Content-Disposition: inline

I have just received an SP-600, plated US Sig Corp R-542/FRR

Unfortunately, due to poor packing it arrived damaged, bent chassis, sides=  
,  
smashed valves etc. It also appears to have had every component changed,  
so, its about as original as a \$3 note. The mains tranny has been replace=  
d  
with a poor example, a seperate heater tranny added, and the shaft coupl=  
er  
on the selectivity control is broke. Its also missing its case and a low=  
er  
turret cover. =

So, I'm looking for a replacement ht transformer, the metal lower turret  
cover, and a shaft coupler for the selectivity control (it looks smaller  
diameter than the standard 3/8, can anyone verify this ?)

If anyone can help, please get in touch direct. =

cheers, Ben G4BXD  
G4BXD@qsl.net  
www.qsl.net/g4bxd web site

-----  
From: "russ dworakowski" <wb3fau@hotmail.com>  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Subject: Re: XNVIEW suggestion  
Date: Thu, 18 Oct 2001 14:01:27 -0400  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed  
Message-ID: <LAW2-F43XNZ60A8nA1c0000ad1c@hotmail.com>

Hey Eric, I just downloaded that xnview the other day, not being much of a  
computer guy, I really don't know how to use it. I was trying to  
download a schematic from bama. Maybe I should delete it? Russ  
Any suggestions appreciated.

>From: ejones@hiwaay.net  
>Reply-To: ejones@hiwaay.net  
>To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
>Subject: XNVIEW suggestion  
>Date: Thu, 18 Oct 2001 11:09:40 -0500 (CDT)  
>  
>de N4TGC Eric

>  
>In reference to being unable to use the schematics on the BAMA site, the  
>XNVIEW virus is often promoted. This invasive monster is not free out of  
>the goodness of someone's heart: it's chock full of surveillance routines.  
>You are warned. e  
>

---

Get your FREE download of MSN Explorer at <http://explorer.msn.com/intl.asp>

---

Date: Thu, 18 Oct 2001 13:07:16 -0500 (CDT)  
From: Bob Roehrig <broehrig@aurora.edu>  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Subject: hardware  
Message-ID: <Pine.OSF.4.31.0110181305280.14662-100000@students.aurora.edu>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Someone was looking for some 2/56 hardware. Another place that has all  
kinds of hardware & tools is called "Small Parts, inc".  
([www.smallparts.com](http://www.smallparts.com))

73            Bob Roehrig            K9EUI  
Aurora University Telecom/IS dept.  
630-844-4898    broehrig@aurora.edu

---

Message-ID: <3BCF1D57.6018BEE2@attglobal.net>  
Date: Thu, 18 Oct 2001 13:20:07 -0500  
From: plmills@attglobal.net  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
CC: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Subject: Re: XNVIEW suggestion  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hello Russ,

I downloaded Xnview and tried on some .gif files I had  
previously downloaded from Bama. You use it after you  
have downloaded the manual. The neat thing about it is  
that it prints with the schematic or page sized to your  
paper with a choice of portrait or landscape. I think it  
is great but don't know anything about "surveillance".

Why anyone would give a hoot about what is on my machine  
or what I do is absolutely beyond me....

73, Phil  
W5BVB

russ dworakowski wrote:

>  
> Hey Eric, I just downloaded that xnview the other day, not being much of a  
> computer guy, I really don't know how to use it. I was trying to  
> download a schematic from bama. Maybe I should delete it? Russ  
> Any suggestions appreciated.  
>  
> >From: ejones@hiwaay.net  
> >Reply-To: ejones@hiwaay.net  
> >To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
> >Subject: XNVIEW suggestion  
> >Date: Thu, 18 Oct 2001 11:09:40 -0500 (CDT)  
> >  
> >de N4TGC Eric  
> >  
> >In reference to being unable to use the schematics on the BAMA site, the  
> >XNVIEW virus is often promoted. This invasive monster is not free out of  
> >the goodness of someone's heart: it's chock full of surveillance routines.  
> >You are warned. e  
> >  
>  
> -----  
> Get your FREE download of MSN Explorer at <http://explorer.msn.com/intl.asp>

-----  
Message-ID: <00bc01c15802\$255fd1a0\$6401a8c0@computer>  
From: "Al Parker" <anchor@ec.rr.com>  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Subject: Re: XNVIEW suggestion  
Date: Thu, 18 Oct 2001 14:24:38 -0400  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Eric,

There's a lot of us using XNVIEW, and real happy with it. You'd better  
back up your claims with some real facts. Without facts, your post is  
almost like a virus alert hoax, and they're not welcome.  
no offense intended, but you're get us "on alert"?

73,  
Al, W8UT

----- Original Message -----

From: <ejones@hiwaay.net>

To: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2001 12:09 PM

Subject: XNVIEW suggestion

> de N4TGC Eric

>

> In reference to being unable to use the schematics on the BAMA site, the  
> XNVIEW virus is often promoted. This invasive monster is not free out of  
> the goodness of someone's heart: it's chock full of surveillance routines.  
> You are warned. e

>

>

-----  
Message-ID: <005e01c15802\$854428a0\$90b0f7a5@biy28>

From: "Tony Grogan" <wsno19@mindspring.com>

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Subject: RBZ Navy/Marine Corp Helmet Receiver

Date: Thu, 18 Oct 2001 14:27:17 -0400

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: multipart/alternative;

boundary="-----=\_NextPart\_000\_005B\_01C157E0.FCFCC7C0"

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=\_NextPart\_000\_005B\_01C157E0.FCFCC7C0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Allan, These seldom (if ever?) used helmet receivers were designed for =  
use at the lower ecelon levels of Marine Corps squads/platoons =  
principally (but not only) for landing operations. As the landing =  
operation was taking place, beach operations communications would direct =  
the squads/platoons off the beach to their initial "rally" point. It is =  
assume that in the early war years, the principal communications was the =  
TBY later the SCR-300 (for ARMY/MARINE CORP use , interspersed with =  
SCR-536's ...all being dependant upon the approved OPORD.

It was quickly discovered that as all maneuver plans are somewhat =  
flawed, it was necessary for troop leaders to ask questions which ( with =  
this radio) was not possible. SCR-536's "replaced" the RBZ and like the =  
Army eventually replaced these with SCR-300. etc..etc..

I discovered my first RBZ in England about 1950 and it was the 5-13 Mhz =

model. It was a bedside radio. for many years, listening to Radio =  
Luxembourg on the 6 Mc/s. ( by using osc-trimmer )

I had heard stories of the acquisition of the 5-13 Mc/s model by the =  
Allied Forces in Europe to be modified for use within the various POW =  
camps at nearly wars-end. Initially I "pooh-poohed" the idea. However, =  
over the years I have seen two "modified" models, in different locales =  
that had crude but similar AC supplies built in to the battery case.  
As additional supporting evidence, Wright Patterson AFB Museum has =  
another model in their POW section.  
Lastly, the British MK-128 radio, built in the 1950's for use by SAS, =  
used the canvas helmet (earphone) cover, rewired with Brit phones, for =  
this radio. As they had several hundred of these radios built...where =  
else could the covers have come from...?

Any further input would be interesting (to me). Maybe we can help dispel =  
myths?

Tony Grogan. WA4MRR.

---

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.  
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (<http://www.grisoft.com>).  
Version: 6.0.286 / Virus Database: 152 - Release Date: 10/9/01

-----=\_NextPart\_000\_005B\_01C157E0.FCFCC7C0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
* * * * *
*      ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED---      *
*      This post contains a forbidden message format      *
*      (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *
*      Mail Lists at theporch.com only accept PLAIN TEXT      *
*      If your postings display this message your mail program *
*      is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * *
```

-----=\_NextPart\_000\_005B\_01C157E0.FCFCC7C0--

-----  
From: "Dennis L. Wade" <dlwade@pacbell.net>  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Date: Thu, 18 Oct 2001 11:59:43 -0700  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Subject: Re: XNVIEW suggestion

Message-ID: <774663FA1738.AAAE2DA@airmail.arb.ca.gov>

Fellow Anchorites:

Ad ware and spyware are not to be taken lightly. If you value your privacy you will educate yourself on the topic.

A good place to start is:

<http://www.lavasoftusa.com/>

Lavasoft produces an excellent freeware product called Ad-aware which will scan, detect and remove background processes that report your web-browsing habits to others, and slow down your connection besides.

Those of you who have been running XNVIEW can easily run Ad-aware and find out if there are background processes running.

But first, educate yourself.

Dennis

On 18 Oct 01, at 14:24, Al Parker wrote:

> Eric,  
> There's a lot of us using XNVIEW, and real happy with it. You'd  
> better  
> back up your claims with some real facts. Without facts, your post is  
> almost like a virus alert hoax, and they're not welcome. no offense  
> intended, but you're get us "on alert"? 73, Al, W8UT ----- Original  
> Message ----- From: <ejones@hiwaay.net> To: "Old Tube Radios"  
> <boatanchors@theporch.com> Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2001 12:09 PM  
> Subject: XNVIEW suggestion  
>  
>  
> > de N4TGC Eric  
> >  
> > In reference to being unable to use the schematics on the BAMA site,  
> > the XNVIEW virus is often promoted. This invasive monster is not free  
> > out of the goodness of someone's heart: it's chock full of



> > surveillance routines. You are warned.        e  
> >  
> >  
>

"If you can remain calm, you don't have all the facts."

-----  
Dennis Wade  
KG6ZI  
Rocklin, CA

-----  
Message-ID: <3BCF2B98.F5FDF639@sympatico.ca>  
Date: Thu, 18 Oct 2001 15:20:56 -0400  
From: Jerry Proc <jerry.proc@sympatico.ca>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
CC: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Subject: Re: Wanted: Navy message form copy  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi Robert,

Go to the "End of Hostilities" section near the end of this document in my  
radio research paper. There are messages from various groups.

<http://webhome.idirect.com/~jproc/rrp/sradequ.html>

-----  
"Robert G. Flory" wrote:

> Hello,  
>  
> I am developing a "Living History" program about WWII radio operators. I  
> am looking for a copy of a WWII era message form so I can make copies for  
> practice on a manual typewriter. My short-term goal is to qualify for the  
> message copying portion of the qualifying exam as outlined in the Radioman  
> 2nd class manual.  
>  
> 73 and Thanks, Rob Flory K2WI

--

Regards,  
Jerry Proc VE3FAB  
Toronto, Ontario  
e-mail: [jerry.proc@sympatico.ca](mailto:jerry.proc@sympatico.ca)  
<http://webhome.idirect.com/~jproc/ve3fab>

-----

End of BOATANCHORS Digest 3239  
\*\*\*\*\*